arXiv:1205.3373v1 [math.NT] 15 May 2012

A spectrum associated with Minkowski diagonal continued fraction

by **Alena Aleksenko**[1]

Let α be real irrational number. The function $\mu_\alpha(t)$ is defined as follows.

The Legendre theorem states that if

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{A}{Q}\right| < \frac{1}{2Q^2}, \ \ (A,Q)=1 \tag{1}$$

then the fraction $\frac{A}{Q}$ is a convergent fraction for the continued fraction expansion of α. The converse statement is not true. It may happen that $\frac{A}{Q}$ is a convergent to α but (1) is not valid. One should consider the sequence of the denominators of the convergents to α for which (1) is true. Let this sequence be

$$Q_0 < Q_1 < \dots < Q_n < Q_{n+1} < \cdots .$$

Then for $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ the function $\mu_\alpha(t)$ is defined by

$$\mu_\alpha(t) = \frac{Q_{n+1}-t}{Q_{n+1}-Q_n}\cdot ||Q_n\alpha|| + \frac{t-Q_n}{Q_{n+1}-Q_n}\cdot ||Q_{n+1}\alpha||, \ \ Q_n \le t \le Q_{n+1}.$$

From the other hand, for every ν one of the consecutive convergent fractions $\frac{p_\nu}{q_\nu}$, $\frac{p_{\nu+1}}{q_{\nu+1}}$ to α satisfies (1). So either

$$(Q_n, Q_{n+1}) = (q_\nu, q_{\nu+1})$$

for some ν, or

$$(Q_n, Q_{n+1}) = (q_{\nu-1}, q_{\nu+1})$$

for some ν.

Actually the function $\mu_\alpha(t)$ was considered by Minkowski [4]. There exists an alternative geometric definition of $\mu_\alpha(t)$. Some related facts were discussed in [3, 6].

The quantity

$$\mathfrak{m}(\alpha) = \limsup_{t\to+\infty} t\cdot\mu_\alpha(t).$$

was considered in [6]. An explicit formula for the value of $\mathfrak{m}(\alpha)$ in terms of continued fraction expansion for α was proved in [6]. It is as follows. Put

$$\mathfrak{m}_n(\alpha) = \begin{cases} G(\alpha_\nu^*, \alpha_{\nu+2}^{-1}), & \text{if } \ (Q_n, Q_{n+1}) = (q_{\nu-1}, q_{\nu+1}) \ \text{ with some } \ \nu, \\ F(\alpha_{\nu+1}^*, \alpha_{\nu+2}^{-1}), & \text{if } \ (Q_n, Q_{n+1}) = (q_\nu, q_{\nu+1}) \ \text{ with some } \ \nu, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

---

[1] Department of Mathematics, Aveiro University, Aveiro 3810, Portugal. This work was supported by *FEDER* founds through *COMPETE*–Operational Programme Factors of Competitiveness ("Programa Operacional Factores de Competitividade") and by Portuguese founds through the *Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications* (University of Aveiro) and the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology ("FCT–Fundção para a Ciência e a Tecnologia"), within project PEst-C/MAT/UI4106/2011 with COMPETE number FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-022690

where

$$G(x,y) = \frac{x+y+1}{4}, \quad F(x,y) = \frac{(1-xy)^2}{4(1+xy)(1-x)(1-y)}$$

and α_ν, α_ν^* come from continued fraction expansion to

$$\alpha = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, \dots]$$

in such a way:

$$\alpha_\nu = [a_\nu; a_{\nu+1}, ...], \quad \alpha_\nu^* = [0; a_\nu, a_{\nu-1}, ..., a_1].$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{m}(\alpha) = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \mathfrak{m}_n(\alpha),$$

The specrtum

$$\mathbb{M} = \{m \in \mathbb{R} : \ \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } m = \mathfrak{m}(\alpha)\}.$$

was studied in [6]. It was proven there that $\mathbb{M} \subset \left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and that $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{M}$. However no further structure of the spectrum $\mathbb{M}$ is known.

In this paper we consider the spectrum

$$\mathbb{I} = \{m \in \mathbb{R} : \ \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \text{ such that } \mathfrak{i}(\alpha) = m\},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{i}(\alpha) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathfrak{m}_n(\alpha)$$

(however, compared to $\mathfrak{m}(\alpha)$, this quantity has no clear Diophantine sense).

It is clear that

$$\min \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \max \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Theorem. *There exists positive* ω_0 *such that*

$$\left[\frac{1}{4}, \omega_0\right] \subset \mathbb{I}.$$

The proof is based ol M. Hall's ideas (see [2]). It uses technique from [5].

Remark. *An explicit formula for* ω_0 *may be obtained from the proof below. It is interesting to get optimal estimates for the value of* ω_0*.*

We need some well known results.

Recall the definition of a τ-set $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}$. The set $\mathcal{F}$ must be of the form

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{S} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\nu+1}^{\infty} \Delta_\nu \right),$$

where $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a segment, and $\Delta_\nu \subset \mathcal{S}, \ \nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$ is an ordered sequence of disjoint intervals. Moreover for every t if

$$\mathcal{S} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\nu+1}^{t-1} \Delta_\nu \right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} \mathcal{M}_j$$

is a union of segments $\mathcal{M}_j$ and $\Delta_t \subset \mathcal{M}_{j^*}$ then

$$\mathcal{M}_{j^*} = \mathcal{N}^1 \sqcup \Delta_t \sqcup \mathcal{N}^2,$$

and

$$\min(|\mathcal{N}^1|, |\mathcal{N}^2|) \geq \tau |\Delta_t|.$$

Consider the set

$$\mathcal{F}_5 = \{\alpha = [0; b_1, b_2, b_3, ...] : \ \ b_\nu \leq 5 \ \ \forall \ \nu\}$$

consisting of all irrational real numbers from the unit interval $(0,1)$ with partial quotients bounded by 5. One can easily see that

$$\begin{cases} A = \min \mathcal{F}_5 = [0; \overline{5,1}] = \frac{\sqrt{45}-5}{10} = 0.1708^+, \\ B = \max \mathcal{F}_5 = [0; \overline{1,5}] = \frac{\sqrt{45}-5}{2} = 0.85410^+. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Put

$$\mathcal{S}_5 = [A, B] \subset [0,1].$$

The following lemma comes from the results of the papers [1] or [7].

Lemma 1. *The set* $\mathcal{F}_5$ *is a* τ*-set with* $\tau = \tau_5 = 1.788^+$.

Let $H(x,y): \ \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function in two variables of the class $G \in C^1(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S})$. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{J} = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : \ \ \exists \, x, y \in \mathcal{S} \ \ z = H(x,y)\}.$$

By continuousity argument $\mathcal{J}$ is a segment.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that the derivatives* $\partial H / \partial x, \partial H / \partial y$ *do not take zero values on the box* $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{F}$ *is* τ*-set and* $\mathcal{S} = [\min \mathcal{F}, \max \mathcal{F}]$. *Suppose that*

$$\tau \geq \max_{x,y \in \mathcal{S}} \ \max \left(\left| \frac{\partial H / \partial x}{\partial H / \partial y} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial H / \partial y}{\partial H / \partial x} \right| \right). \tag{4}$$

Then

$$\{z : \ \exists \, x, y \in \mathcal{F} \ \ \text{such that} \ \ z = H(x,y)\} = \mathcal{J}.$$

Lemma 2 is a staightforward generalization of a result from [5]. We do not give its proof here as the proof follows the argument from [5] word-by-word.

Now we are able to conclude the proof of Theorem.

We consider pairs of integers (R_1, R_2) of the form

$$(R_1, R_2) \ = \ (R, R) \ \ \text{or} \ \ (R, R+1) \tag{5}$$

with $R \geq 6$. Consider a function

$$H_{R_1,R_2}(x,y) = F\left(\frac{1}{R_1 + x}, \frac{1}{R_2 + y}\right).$$

For R_1, R_2 under consideration the function $H_{R_1,R_2}(x,y)$ decreases both in x and in y.

For $0 < x, y < 1$ put

$$\varphi(x,y) = (1 - 3x + 3xy - x^2 y)(1 - x).$$

For any $y \in (0,1)$ the function $\varphi(x,y)$ decreases in x. For any $x \in (0,1)$ the function $\varphi(x,y)$ increases in y. Now

$$\frac{\partial F/\partial y}{\partial F/\partial x} = \frac{\varphi(x,y)}{\varphi(y,x)},$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial y}{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial x}\right| = \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_1+x},\frac{1}{R_2+y}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_2+y},\frac{1}{R_1+x}\right)}\left(\frac{R_1+x}{R_2+y}\right)^2 .$$

Easy calculation shows that for $R_1, R_2 \geq 6$ one has

$$\max_{x,y\in\mathcal{S}_5}\ \max\left(\left|\frac{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial x}{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial y}\right|,\left|\frac{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial y}{\partial H_{R_1,R_2}/\partial x}\right|\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_1+B},\frac{1}{R_2+A}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_2+A},\frac{1}{R_1+B}\right)}\left(\frac{R_1+B}{R_2+A}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_1+B},\frac{1}{R_1+A}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{R_1+A},\frac{1}{R_1+B}\right)}\left(\frac{R_1+B}{R_1+A}\right)^2 \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{6+B},\frac{1}{6+A}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{1}{6+A},\frac{1}{6+B}\right)}\left(\frac{6+B}{6+A}\right)^2 = 1.363^+ < \tau_5.$$

Here A and B are defined in (3) and in the last inequalities we use the bounds $6 \leq R_1 \leq R_2$ which follows from (5).

We see that for any R_1, R_2 under consideration and for τ_5-set $\mathcal{F}_5$ the condition (4) is satisfied. We apply Lemma 2 to see that the image of the set $\mathcal{F}_5 \times \mathcal{F}_5$ under the mapping $H_{R_1,R_2}(x,y)$ is just the segment

$$\mathcal{J}_{R_1,R_2} = [H_{R_1,R_2}(B,B), H_{R_1,R_2}(A,A)].$$

But

$$H_{R,R}(B,B) < H_{R,R+1}(A,A)$$

and

$$H_{R,R+1}(B,B) < H_{R+1,R+1}(A,A).$$

That is why if we put

$$\omega_0 = H_{R_0,R_0}(A,A).$$

with $R_0 \geq 6$ we get

$$\bigcup_{R\geq R_0}\mathcal{J}_{R,R} \cup \bigcup_{R\geq R_0}\mathcal{J}_{R,R+1} = (1/4, \omega_0].$$

Take $m \in (0, \omega_0]$. Then there exists R_1, R_2 such that

$$m \in \mathcal{J}_{R_1,R_2}$$

and there exist

$$\beta = [0; b_1, b_2, ..., b_\nu, ...],\ \ \gamma = [0; c_1, c_2, ..., c_\nu, ...],\ \ \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{F}_5,$$

such that

$$F\left(\frac{1}{R_1+\alpha},\frac{1}{R_2+\beta}\right) = m.$$

Now we take

$$\alpha = [0; \underbrace{a_1, R_1, R_2, b_1}_{1}, \underbrace{a_2, a_1, R_1, R_2, b_1, b_2}_{2}, ..., \underbrace{a_\nu, a_{\nu-1}, ..., a_2, a_1, R_1, R_2, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{\nu-1}, b_\nu}_{\nu}, ...].$$

Standard argument shows that for n_ν defined from

$$\frac{p_{n_\nu}}{q_{n_\nu}} = [0; a_1, R_1, R_2, b_1, a_2, a_1, R_1, R_2, b_1, b_2, ..., a_\nu, a_{\nu-1}, ..., a_2, a_1, R_1]$$

one has

$$\lim_{\nu \to +\infty} F(\alpha^*_{n_\nu}, \alpha^{-1}_{n_\nu+1}) = m.$$

At the same time for $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ we have

$$\inf_{n \neq n_\nu \, \forall \nu} F(\alpha^*_n, \alpha_{n+1}) > \omega_0$$

and

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} G(\alpha^*_n, \alpha^{-1}_{n+2}) > \omega_0,$$

for large R_0. So $\mathfrak{i}(\alpha) = m$ and everything is proved.□

References

[1] S. Astels, Cantor sets and numbers with restricted partial quotients, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 352:1 (1999), 133 - 170.

[2] M. Hall (Jr.), On the sum and product of continued fractions, Annales of Mathematics, 48, No. 4 (1947), 966 - 993.

[3] I.D. Kan, N.G. Moshchevitin, J. Chaika, On Minkowski diagonal functions for two real numbers, in 'The Proceedings Diophantine Analysis and Related Fields 2011', M. Amou and M. Katsurada (Eds.), AIP Conf. Proc. No. 1385, pp. 42 - 48 (2011), American Institute of Physics, New York.

[4] H. Minkowski, Über die Annäherung an eine reelle Grösse durch ratiolale Zahlen, Math. Ann., 54 (1901), p. 91 - 124.

[5] N.G. Moshchevitin, On a theorem of M. Hall, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 1997, 52:6, 1312 - 1313.

[6] N.G. Moshchevitin, On Minkowski diagonal continued fraction, Anal. Probab. Methods Nubmer Theory, Proceedings of the conference in Palanga, Sept. 2011, E. Manstavičius et al. (Eds), to appear; preptint is available at arXiv:1202.4622v2 (2012).

[7] P.A. Pisarev, On the set of numbers representable as continued fractions with bounded partial quotients, Russian Mathematical Surveys, 2000, 55:5, 998 - 999